

337,253



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
07/337,253	04/13/89	PERRY	

BATEMAN  
P.O. BOX 1359  
DECATUR, IL 62525

EXAMINER	
SHAY, R	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	10

DATE MAILED: 01/16/91

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

01/16/91

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Philip Bateman (3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Randy Shay (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of interview 1/15/91

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Agreement ☐ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☒ was not reached.

Claims discussed: 12

Identification of prior art discussed: Paschal and Beck

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The final rejection was discussed. Specifically, the Examiner clarified that the present situation was not the same as the Wilder case cited because in that case the claims were both narrower than required by the prior art and did not read on the new embodiment, while in this case only the latter is true. The Examiner also explained the rationale for the 103 rejection, that a material having the spec's claimed would meet the limitations required by Paschal, and since Applicants didn't invent the material, its selection based on its properties would have been obvious. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

Unless the paragraphs below have been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

☐ Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action.

Randy Shay  
Examiner's Signature